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What are we trying to achieve when we assure learning?
Quality Assurance: Same Same but Different

Internationally there is **pressure for significant change** in measuring quality in teaching and learning (Krause, Barrie & Scott, 2012).

- USA - Martell and Calderon (2009) cited **growing public dissatisfaction** with the quality of college education

- U.K. - Government White Paper, ‘Students at the Heart of the System’ (2011), set out the quality challenges of a changing higher education environment, recognising the need to strengthen the processes and to adapt and **reinforce systems to improve practice**.

- Australia - recently established regulatory body, Tertiary Education Quality and Standards Agency (TEQSA) identified the **need to focus on quality improvements** (TEQSA, 2011).
Council for Higher Education Accreditation
National examinations

Collegiate Learning Assessment has been adopted by over 400 universities
Critics argue that generic testing has the potential to lead to:
• a standardisation of programs,
• stifle innovation
• and may fail to discern vital disciplinary nuances of application and distinctions between programs.
External auditing and assessment, with some requirement for self-evaluation.


Peer review system

External examiners and benchmark standards
International Perspective

In comparison to many other jurisdictions Australia possess a developed and systematic approach to quality assurance. While TEQSA and the AQF are both relatively new, having a national qualifications framework and a quality agency that will undertake quality assessments represents steps towards the U.K. and European model, and away from the U.S. and New Zealand model of voluntary accreditation and self-assessment.

This section contains a summary of international quality assurance, including major international projects, and a brief summary of quality assurance systems across different jurisdictions. While certainly not exhaustive, this information provides a sense of how the trend for increased quality assurance processes has played out in other jurisdictions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>International Quality Projects</th>
<th>International Quality Agencies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Internationalisation Quality Review Process - (OECD):
The IQRP is a process developed by the OECD to conduct cross-country analysis of higher education internationalisation with a focus on quality assessment and assurance. The review process has been piloted at universities across the world.
Quality Assurance: Same Same but Different

- Various national approaches, Coates and Richardson’s (2011) indicated that there are few multi-country initiatives.
  
  - Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD)
    - Assessment of Higher Education Learning Outcomes (AHELO) Piloted at over 250 institutions to date, in more than 16 countries.
    - International Quality Review Process (IQRP)
      The review process has been piloted at universities across the world.
  - Bologna Process
  - Tuning Project
Valid Data for Quality Assurance

• Although quality assurance has been recognised as a critical component of educational enhancement principles there is little consistency as to how to achieve it.

• Of more concern, is the validity of the data being used to assure quality.
Valid Data for Quality Assurance
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Valid Data for Quality Assurance

CLO + Subject LO → Assessment (Context/Level)
# Assessment Task

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STANDARD CRITERIA</th>
<th>BELOW EXPECTATIONS</th>
<th>MEETS EXPECTATIONS</th>
<th>EXCEEDS EXPECTATIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Demonstration of inter-relationships between differing business related disciplines</td>
<td>Limited demonstration of integration between disciplines. e.g. identification or description only.</td>
<td>Sound demonstration of integration between disciplines. e.g. examination, explanation, interpretation, application or analysis of interrelationships.</td>
<td>Comprehensive demonstration of integration between disciplines. e.g. detailed examination, insightful analysis or interpretation, synthesis, extrapolation, evaluation and/or recommendations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application of critical understandings of theoretical concepts underpinning perspectives in industry based scenarios.</td>
<td>No / little application of critical understandings demonstrated. e.g. Only one perspective drawn on to demonstrate concepts.</td>
<td>Application of critical understandings demonstrated. e.g. 2-3 perspectives drawn on to demonstrate concepts.</td>
<td>Convincing application of critical understandings demonstrated. e.g. Relevant and innovative application drawn from multiple / global perspectives.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Whole of Course Rubric

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CLO1 Demonstrate essential knowledge necessary for a career in business related professions</th>
<th>Year 1: Foundation</th>
<th>Year 2: Intermediate</th>
<th>Year 3: Competent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>B</strong>&lt;br&gt;Critical analysis and evaluation of essential concepts.</td>
<td>Demonstrates some critical analysis/evaluation of essential concepts. e.g. Reference to concepts through definition or description only.</td>
<td>Demonstrates sound critical analysis/evaluation of essential concepts. e.g. analysis demonstrated through explanation, discussion, investigation, application, interpretation of concepts.</td>
<td>Demonstrates thoughtful critical analysis and evaluation of essential concepts. e.g. involvement of reflection, judgment, problem-solving, synthesis, assessment, prediction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C</strong>&lt;br&gt;Consideration of the economic, social and cultural environments within which international businesses operate.</td>
<td>Demonstrates some consideration of the economic, social and cultural aspects of international business context.</td>
<td>Demonstrates sound consideration of international economic, social and cultural differences between cultures and how these differences impact ways that business operates.</td>
<td>Demonstrates high level understanding of international economic, social and cultural environmental issues in an international business situation. e.g. accurate explanation of relevant actions and prediction of responses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Appreciation of essential concepts necessary for a career in business and related professions.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Year 1: Foundation</strong></td>
<td>Describes essential concepts of business.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Year 2: Intermediate</strong></td>
<td>Explains essential concepts of business, demonstrating application of concepts.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Year 3: Competent</strong></td>
<td>Interprets essential concepts of business hypothesising, and assessing aspects of the concepts.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B</th>
<th>Critical analysis and evaluation of essential concepts.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Year 1: Foundation** | Demonstrates some critical analysis/evaluation of essential concepts.  
  e.g. Reference to concepts through definition or description only. |
| **Year 2: Intermediate** | Demonstrates sound critical analysis / evaluation of essential concepts.  
  e.g. Analysis demonstrated through explanation, discussion, investigation, application, interpretation of concepts. |
| **Year 3: Competent** | Demonstrates thoughtful critical analysis and evaluation of essential concepts.  
  e.g. Involvement of reflection, judgment, problem-solving, synthesis, assessment, prediction. |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C</th>
<th>Consideration of the economic, social and cultural environments within which international businesses operate.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Year 1: Foundation</strong></td>
<td>Demonstrates some consideration of the economic, social and cultural aspects of international business context.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Year 2: Intermediate</strong></td>
<td>Demonstrates sound consideration of international economic, social and cultural differences between cultures and how these differences impact ways that business operates.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Year 3: Competent** | Demonstrates high level understanding of international economic, social and cultural environmental issues in an international business situation.  
  e.g. Accurate explanation of relevant actions and prediction of responses. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject LO</th>
<th>Below</th>
<th>Meets</th>
<th>Exceeds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>HD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLO1</td>
<td>Describes essential concepts of business</td>
<td>Explains essential concepts of business, demonstrating application of concepts.</td>
<td>Interprets essential concepts of business hypothesising, and assessing aspects of the concepts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLO1</td>
<td>Demonstrates some consideration of the economic, social and cultural aspects of international business context.</td>
<td>Demonstrates sound consideration of international economic, social and cultural differences between cultures and how these differences impact ways that business operates</td>
<td>Demonstrates high level understanding of international economic, social and cultural environmental issues in an international business situation. e.g. accurate explanation of relevant actions and prediction of responses.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Valid Data for Quality Assurance

• Sadler (2012) discusses commonly used options in assuring achievement including:
  – overall results,
  – external examiner systems,
  – threshold standards
  – and standardised testing,
but stresses problems with each of these methods unless a
  – moderation and calibration process is included.
Valid Data for Quality Assurance

• Yorke (2008) has also raised concern about adopting overall percentages as the indicator of quality.

• What does a percentage or grade point average or degree classification actually tell us;
  – which capabilities were actually assessed
  – at what level;
  – how grading was decided.
Valid Data for Quality Assurance
Whole of Course ePortfolios

• Yorke (2008) proposed that evidence can (some might say 'should') be created by students.

• Creating ePortfolios is said to enable students to enhance their learning by giving them a better understanding of their skills and attributes, as well as where and how they need to improve to meet academic and career goals (Yancey, 1999).